#### **Evolution & Learning in Games** Econ 243B

Jean-Paul Carvalho

Lecture 9. Cultural Transmission

# **Motivation**

- Prior to the 1960s, it was conventional wisdom among social scientists that immigrants from various ethnic and religious backgrounds would assimilate into American culture.
- It became obvious that this was not occurring not only in America, but elsewhere.
- High rates of homogamy persisted along with distinctive cultural traits:
  - Basque and Catalan culture in Spain,
  - ► Ultra-Orthodox Judaism in New York,
  - Conservatives and liberals in the United States.

### **The Bisin-Verdier Model**

Bisin & Verdier (2000 QJE, 2001 JET)

- Consider a simple baseline model of cultural transmission.
- Agents form a continuum and can have either cultural trait *a* or *b*.
- Each parent (asexually) produces one child, socializes them and then dies.
- With probability τ<sub>i</sub> a parent with trait i ∈ {a, b} successfully passes on her trait to her child (vertical transmission).
- With probability  $1 \tau_i$  the child is matched at random with someone from her parent's generation and acquires their trait (**oblique transmission**).

### **Dynamics: Exogenous Socialization**

- Let *q* equal the proportion of type *a* individuals in the population.
- The probability that a type *b* individual has a type *a* child is  $P_{ba} = (1 \tau_b)q$ .
- ► The probability that a type *a* individual has a type *b* child is  $P_{ab} = (1 \tau_a)(1 q)$ .
- ► In continuous time the dynamic is:

$$\begin{split} \dot{q} &= \underbrace{(1-q) P_{ba}}_{inflow} - \underbrace{q P_{ab}}_{outflow} \\ &= (1-q)(1-\tau_b)q - q(1-\tau_a)(1-q) \\ &= (\tau_a - \tau_b)q(1-q). \end{split}$$
(1)

# **The Melting Pot**

- We have a melting pot, i.e. a <u>monomorphic</u> cultural equilibrium:
  - q = 1 is asymptotically stable if  $\tau_a > \tau_b$ .
  - q = 0 is asymptotically stable if  $\tau_b > \tau_a$ .

How can we get cultural diversity, i.e. a polymorphic cultural equilibrium?

# **Endogenous Socialization**

Bisin and Verdier's contribution is to introduce a choice of socialization effort. For example:

▶ teaching,

- school choice,
- residential choice,
- homogamy.

# **Imperfect Empathy**

- To model socialization choice, parents need to have preferences over the traits that their children can acquire.
- Imperfect empathy: parents evaluate their children's behavior based on their own preferences.
- Formally, a parent with trait *i* gets a payoff of  $V_{ij}$  if their child acquires trait *j*, where  $V_{ii} > V_{ij}$  whenever  $i \neq j$ .

#### **Objective Functions**

► A parent with trait *a* in state *q* has payoff function:

$$U^{a}(q) = [\underbrace{\tau_{a} + (1 - \tau_{a})q}_{P_{aa}}]V_{aa} + \underbrace{(1 - \tau_{a})(1 - q)}_{P_{ab}}V_{ab} - c(\tau_{a}).$$

They choose socialization effort  $\tau_a$  at cost  $c(\tau_a)$  to maximize this function.

► A parent with trait *b* in state *q* has payoff function:

$$U^{b}(q) = [\underbrace{\tau_{b} + (1 - \tau_{b})(1 - q)}_{P_{bb}}]V_{bb} + \underbrace{(1 - \tau_{b})q}_{P_{ba}}V_{ba} - c(\tau_{b}).$$

#### **First-Order Conditions**

Define 'cultural intolerances'

$$\Delta_a = V_{aa} - V_{ab}$$
 and  $\Delta_b = V_{bb} - V_{ba}$ .

$$(1-q)\Delta_a = c'(\tau_a).$$

► The FOC for a *b* type is:

$$q\Delta_b = c'(\tau_b).$$

# **Optimal Socialization Effort**

Proposition 1. Optimal socialization effort varies as follows:

- (i)  $\tau_i$  is strictly increasing in 'cultural intolerance'  $\Delta_i$ ,
- (ii)  $\tau_a$  is strictly decreasing in q,

(iii)  $\tau_b$  is strictly increasing in q,

(iv)  $\tau_a > \tau_b$  if and only if  $q < \frac{\Delta_a}{\Delta_a + \Delta_b}$ .

Hence 'minorities' expend more effort on socialization

 See evidence on religious minorities by Bisin, Topa and Verdier 2004.

# **Dynamics: Endogenous Socialization**

Population dynamics are given by (1) except that now  $\tau$  is endogenous.

**Proposition 2.** The process converges to the interior steady state  $q^* = \frac{\Delta_a}{\Delta_a + \Delta_b}$  from any  $q \in (0, 1)$ .



Therefore, a polymorphic cultural distribution emerges from almost every initial state.

# **Generalizing the Analysis**

- How can we extend the Bisin and Verdier framework to n traits?
- What is the relationship between Bisin-Verdier style cultural evolution and standard dynamics in evolutionary game theory?

For a review and applications to religion, see Bisin, Carvalho and Verdier (forthcoming), "Religion and Cultural Transmission".

## **The Montgomery Analysis**

- ► Agents form a continuum and possess one of *n* cultural traits, *i* ∈ {1,...*n*}.
- Each parent (asexually) produces one child, socializes them and then dies.
- A parent with trait *i* will have a child with trait  $j \neq i$  with probability:

$$P_{ij} = (1 - \tau_i)q_j \tag{2}$$

and a child with trait *i* with probability:

$$P_{ii} = \tau_i + (1 - \tau_i)q_i.$$
 (3)

### The Cultural Evolutionary Dynamic

In discrete time:

$$q_i(t+1) = \sum_j q_j(t) P_{ji}.$$
 (4)

Substituting (2) and (3) into (4):  $q_i(t+1) = q_i(t) \left[ \tau_i + (1-\tau_i)q_i(t) \right] + \sum_{i \neq i} q_j(t)(1-\tau_j)q_i(t)$  $= q_i(t)\tau_i + (1 - \tau_i)q_i(t)^2 + q_i(t)\sum_{j \neq i} q_j(t)(1 - \tau_j)$  $= q_i(t)\tau_i + q_i(t)\sum_j q_j(t)(1-\tau_j)$  $= q_i(t) + q_i(t) \left[ \tau_i - \sum_i q_j(t) \tau_j \right].$ 

(5)

# The Cultural Evolutionary Dynamic

► Taking the continuous-time limit, we have:

$$\dot{q}_i = q_i \left[ \tau_i - \sum_j q_j \tau_j \right] \tag{6}$$

for all  $i = 1, \ldots n$ .

Clearly, when the *τ*s are exogenous, the dynamic converges from every interior state to a monomorphic distribution centered on trait arg max<sub>i</sub>{τ<sub>i</sub>}<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub>.

#### **Endogenous Socialization**

► Let us proceed along the lines of Bisin and Verdier (2000) except with *n* traits and a quadratic socialization cost:

$$\max_{\tau_i} \sum_j P_{ij} V_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (\tau_i)^2,$$
 (7)

where  $V_{ij}$  is an *i* type's payoff from having a child with trait *j*.

► The FOC is:

$$\tau_i^* = (1 - q_i) V_{ii} - \sum_{j \neq i} q_j V_{ij}$$
  
=  $V_{ii} - \sum_j q_j V_{ij}$   
=  $\sum_j q_j [V_{ii} - V_{ij}]$   
=  $\sum_j q_j \Delta_{ij}$ , (8)

where  $\Delta_{ij}$  is an *i* type's intolerance toward *j*.

# The Replicator Dynamic

• Substituting into the dynamic (6), we have:

$$\dot{q}_i = q_i \left[ \sum_j q_j \Delta_{ij} - \sum_j q_j \sum_k q_k \Delta_{jk} \right]$$
(9)

for all  $i = 1, \ldots n$ .

- Interpreting Δ<sub>ij</sub> as the payoff from playing strategy i against j, this becomes a well-known evolutionary dynamic:
  - The replicator dynamic operating on random matching to play the *n* × *n* game composed of the payoffs Δ<sub>ij</sub>.

#### **Convergence Results**

- Hence we can exploit standard results from evolutionary game theory on the replicator dynamic to study cultural evolution.
- Suppose that  $\Delta_{ij} = \Delta_i$  for all  $j \neq i$  (and  $\Delta_{ii} = 0$ ), i.e. each group is intolerant of all other traits to an equal degree.
- Then this is a **strictly stable** game:
  - There is a unique Nash equilibrium (distribution of traits), which is globally asymptotically stable.
  - Every trajectory of the replicator dynamic in the interior of the *n*-dimensional simplex converges to this state.
- More generally, we can cast this as a potential game and exploit the corresponding results on such games.